



APRIL 15, 2010

Economic Cycles Affecting the Difference Between Top Caribbean Lodging Facilities

ANDY REED, *Analyst*



MIAMI
8925 SW 148th Street
Suite 216
Miami, Florida 33176
Tel: +1 305-378-0404
Fax: +1 305-378-4484

Background

The Caribbean Islands are made up three main groups of islands: the Bahamas to the north, the Greater Antilles (including Cuba, Jamaica, and Puerto Rico), and the Lesser Antilles to the southeast and consist of 32 individual countries. Each country offers tourists something distinctive and unique; however, the common denominators are warm weather, tropical beaches, and beautiful ocean vistas. The islands offer accommodation, amenities, and activities for all market segments and welcome visitors from all over the world; the lodging industry is well-established in the region. Typically, February, March, and April represent the busiest months of the year for the lodging market whilst September and October represent to the off season.

Map of the Caribbean



This article discusses the differences between two of the highest-yielding segments of the lodging market in the Caribbean. Smith Travel Research (STR) classifies branded hotels into one of the following tiers: Luxury, Upper Upscale, Upscale, Midscale, or Economy, from highest to lowest quality. This analysis has grouped Upscale and Upper Upscale properties together (a sample of 11,778 rooms in 37 hotels, yielding an average size of 318 rooms per hotel, as of the end of 2009) and goes forth to compare their performance over the last eleven years against the performance of Luxury properties (a sample of 6,113 rooms in 25 hotels, yielding an average size of 245 rooms per hotel as of the end of 2009). Defining facets of the properties, occupancy,

average daily rate (ADR), and revenue per available room (RevPAR), are analyzed. For the purpose of this analysis, only chain-affiliated properties were taken into consideration in order to ensure the most consistency in classification. The list of the specific establishments included in the analysis and their respective locations can be found in the appendix.

Occupancy Trends of Upscale and Upper Upscale Lodging Facilities Located in the Caribbean

Upscale and Upper Upscale properties have historically been a profitable component of the lodging market in the Caribbean. These properties attract guests who require high quality amenities and service but are not willing or capable of paying an additional \$70 to \$160 dollars per night for a room at a Luxury establishment.

These properties have historically maintained annual occupancy levels above 70%; however, occupancy levels have been declining annually since 2004 and sit at 65%, the lowest level in the period analyzed, at year end 2009. The data below illustrate that the change in the number of room nights sold has not kept pace with the inventory that has been added to the market. The declining occupancy levels have negatively affected profitability for the Caribbean's Upscale and Upper Upscale properties.

Historical Occupancy Trends for Upscale and Upper Upscale Branded Lodging Facilities

Upper and Upper Upscale Chain Properties

	Room Nights Available	Percent Change	Room Nights Sold	Percent Change	Occupancy
1998	3,398,030	---	2,471,807	---	72.7
1999	3,432,873	1.0 %	2,531,540	2.4 %	73.7
2000	3,520,425	2.6	2,506,976	(1.0)	71.2
2001	3,502,519	(0.5)	2,496,140	(0.4)	71.3
2002	3,492,905	(0.3)	2,489,504	(0.3)	71.3
2003	3,660,100	4.8	2,540,569	2.1	69.4
2004	3,717,556	1.6	2,781,027	9.5	74.8
2005	3,898,207	4.9	2,879,863	3.6	73.9
2006	3,654,968	(6.2)	2,667,611	(7.4)	73.0
2007	3,765,512	3.0	2,568,579	(3.7)	68.2
2008	4,210,937	11.8	2,757,542	7.4	65.5
2009	4,291,623	1.9	2,787,703	1.1	65.0
Compound Annual Growth Rate		2.1 %		1.1 %	

Source: Smith Travel Research

As illustrated above, the number of room nights available per year has grown at an average rate of 2.1% but demand has only increased by 1.1%, accounting for the decline in occupancy levels. Specific properties have done better than others, but this trend reflects the collective average for the sector.

Occupancy Trends of Luxury Lodging Facilities Located in the Caribbean

Luxury properties in the Caribbean have faced similar problems as those in the Upscale and Upper Upscale tier: the development of new rooms has outpaced the increase in demand.

Demand for room nights peaked in 2006 but occupancy levels have been declining since their peak in 2004. Demand for room nights started to constrict in 2007, prior to the current recession, only to be exacerbated as the effects of the recession took hold in 2009. Additionally, the number of room nights sold in 2009 declined by 7.1% while the room nights available continued to increase.

Historical Occupancy Trends for Luxury Branded Lodging Facilities

	<u>Luxury Chain Properties</u>				
	<u>Room Nights Available</u>	<u>Percent Change</u>	<u>Room Nights Sold</u>	<u>Percent Change</u>	<u>Occupancy</u>
1998	1,768,463	---	1,252,662	---	70.8
1999	1,828,989	3.4 %	1,308,281	4.4 %	71.5
2000	1,921,356	5.1	1,288,065	(1.5)	67.0
2001	2,029,668	5.6	1,231,182	(4.4)	60.7
2002	1,999,848	(1.5)	1,340,425	8.9	67.0
2003	1,948,907	(2.5)	1,339,452	(0.1)	68.7
2004	1,936,114	(0.7)	1,381,488	3.1	71.4
2005	2,076,033	7.2	1,422,242	3.0	68.5
2006	2,188,175	5.4	1,436,828	1.0	65.7
2007	2,194,939	0.3	1,433,197	(0.3)	65.3
2008	2,208,829	0.6	1,420,023	(0.9)	64.3
2009	2,218,125	0.4	1,319,650	(7.1)	59.5
Compound Annual Growth Rate		2.1 %		0.5 %	

Source: Smith Travel Research

The fundamental problem for the market remains the fact that demand is not keeping pace with the additions to the market. For example, in 2005 demand for room nights increased by 3.0% but the number of nights available increased by 7.2%: occupancy levels declined from 68.5% to 65.7%. From 1998 to 2009, the supply of room nights has increased by an average of 2.1% per year while demand only increased 0.5% per year.

Upscale and Upper Upscale Tiers Compared to the Luxury Tier

These two product/quality groupings achieve the highest average daily rates (top 30.0%) and RevPAR in the Caribbean market. RevPAR is calculated by multiplying occupancy by rate, and indicates how well rooms revenue is being maximized. The purpose of this analysis is to quantify the differences in average rate and RevPAR between these two groupings.

As illustrated in the following table, Upscale and Upper Upscale establishments have achieved higher occupancy rates than Luxury establishments and Luxury properties have achieved higher rates and RevPAR levels.

Comparison of Occupancy, Rate, and RevPAR

	Upscale & Upper Upscale			Luxury		
	Occupancy	Rate	RevPAR	Occupancy	Rate	RevPAR
1998	72.7 %	\$140.44	\$102.16	70.8 %	\$209.45	\$148.36
1999	73.7	150.99	111.35	71.5	221.46	158.41
2000	71.2	158.50	112.87	67.0	240.66	161.34
2001	71.3	152.78	108.88	60.7	235.34	142.76
2002	71.3	143.38	102.19	67.0	228.79	153.35
2003	69.4	148.43	103.03	68.7	240.96	165.61
2004	74.8	157.51	117.83	71.4	256.28	182.86
2005	73.9	174.92	129.23	68.5	279.43	191.43
2006	73.0	189.56	138.35	65.7	309.29	203.09
2007	68.2	207.24	141.36	65.3	354.26	231.32
2008	65.5	204.18	133.71	64.3	364.59	234.39
2009	65.0	182.81	118.75	59.5	311.59	185.37

Average / Compounded Annual Growth

70.8%	2.4%	1.4%	66.7%	3.7%	2.0%
-------	------	------	-------	------	------

Source: Smith Travel Research

In order to understand how well one grouping of the lodging market is performing relative to another, HVS has analyzed the changes in the difference of average rate and RevPAR for the two groupings. Utilizing these data allows for the direct comparison of changes in relative performance.

Analyzing the changes in occupancy, average rate, and RevPAR from one year to the next can determine the affect of specific events while the 11-year trend helps to understand the overall evolution, relative health, and potential for the market.

As per the following table, the average rate for a room classified as "Luxury" in 2000 was \$82.16 more than one classified in the Upper or Upper Upscale group; this difference represented a 16.6% increase from the difference in rates in 1999. Even though there was a significant increase in the rates, the resulting change in the difference in RevPAR was just \$1.39, or 3.0%.

Luxury Establishment Performance Relative to Upscale and Upper Upscale Establishments


	Rate			RevPAR		
	<u>Difference in Rate</u>	<u>Percent Difference</u>	<u>Percent Change in Difference</u>	<u>Difference in RevPAR</u>	<u>Percent Difference</u>	<u>Percent Change in RevPAR</u>
1998	\$69.01	49.1 %	---	\$46.20	45.2 %	---
1999	70.47	46.7	2.1 %	47.07	42.3	1.9 %
2000	82.16	51.8	16.6	48.46	42.9	3.0
2001	82.56	54.0	0.5	33.88	31.1	(30.1)
2002	85.41	59.6	3.5	51.16	50.1	51.0
2003	92.53	62.3	8.3	62.58	60.7	22.3
2004	98.77	62.7	6.7	65.04	55.2	3.9
2005	104.51	59.7	5.8	62.21	48.1	(4.4)
2006	119.72	63.2	14.6	64.73	46.8	4.1
2007	147.02	70.9	22.8	89.95	63.6	39.0
2008	160.41	78.6	9.1	100.68	75.3	11.9
2009	128.77	70.4	(19.7)	66.63	56.1	(33.8)
Compound Annual Growth Rate						
1998-2009	5.8%			3.4%		
2007-2009	-6.4%			-13.9%		

From 1998 to 2009 Luxury properties have increased their average rate by 5.8% more than Upscale and Upper Upscale properties. The 5.8% growth in the difference of rate between groupings has translated to 3.4% growth in the difference of RevPAR levels which implies that Luxury properties have been widening the gap in the rooms revenue that they have been generating.

These data illustrate that the gap between property types has been growing. Since 1998, there has only been one year where the difference in average daily rate declined: Luxury properties dropped their rate 19.7% more than Upscale and Upper Upscale properties did in 2009 and the difference in RevPAR declined by more than one-third. The following graph illustrates the changes in the difference between groupings. Any negative relative performance indicates a narrowing in the gap between tiers and positive performance indicates that Luxury establishments are outperforming Upscale and Upper Upscale establishments.

The percent difference in the average rate further illustrates that the difference between the Luxury grouping and the Upscale and Upper Upscale grouping has changed significantly. The three-year average from 1998 to 2000 show that Luxury average rate was 49.2% higher than Upscale and Upper Upscale while from 2007 to 2009 the average rate was 73.3% higher than the Upscale and Upper Upscale grouping, an overall change of 24.1%. With regards to RevPAR, the three-year average from 1998 to 2000 indicated that Luxury RevPAR was 43.5% higher, increasing by 21.5% to 65.0% in the 2007 to 2009 period. These data indicate the growing difference between the groupings, peaking in 2008 when there was a 78.6% and 75.3% difference in average rate and RevPAR, respectively.

Luxury Grouping's Performance Relative to the Upscale and Upper Upscale Group



The demand for Luxury accommodations is much more sensitive to the economic climate than demand for Upscale and Upper Upscale accommodations. The terrorist attacks of September 11th contributed directly to the 6.3% decline in Luxury occupancy levels and large decline in RevPAR but Upscale and Upper Upscale occupancy levels actually rose 0.1% in 2001 and gained significant ground on Luxury RevPAR. Similarly, the current economic recession reduced 2009 occupancy levels at Luxury establishments by 4.8% compared to a decline of just 0.5% at Upscale and Upper Upscale properties. When the economy is expanding Luxury properties raise rates faster than Upscale and Upper Upscale properties, but in times of economic hardship Luxury properties are the first to lose patrons and tend to drastically adjust rates.

Conclusion

Over the past eleven years, the demand for branded Upscale, Upper Upscale, and Luxury accommodations has been relatively stable and increased annually by only 0.89%, from 3,724,469 room nights in 1998 to 4,107,353 room nights in 2009. However, the influx of supply to the market has outpaced the minimal increases in demand and has resulted in declining occupancy levels. Demand for room nights will increase when the general economic climate improves, but occupancy levels may continue to drop if a disproportionate number of rooms continue to be developed. Investors who are considering entering the market should note that that demand for branded Luxury properties has not increased as significantly (0.5% annually) as the demand for branded Upscale and Upper Upscale properties (at 1.1% annually). With regards to demand and occupancy levels, Luxury establishments are susceptible to whatever the economic situation at the time may be whilst Upscale and Upper Upscale properties have proven to be much more

resilient. The RevPAR difference between Luxury and Upscale and Upper Upscale properties has gradually been expanding, from \$46.20 in 1998 to \$66.63 in 2009. Since 1998, the annual growth in RevPAR levels at Luxury properties, at 2.0%, has outpaced the 1.4% growth for Upscale and Upper Upscale properties. The disparity between the two groupings is greatest when the economy is prospering and the closest during difficult economic times.

However, the potential rooms revenue generated at Luxury properties are highly subject to external global factors and rely on a smaller, lower-growth demand source. Demand for Upscale and Upper Upscale properties has increased faster than the demand for Luxury properties which offers greater stability, representing an average of 66.0% of the overall demand for these two groupings, and therefore lower risk.

Though general demand and occupancy trends have been negative in recent history, a significant number of lodging facilities within these groupings have maintained excellent occupancy, average rate, and RevPAR levels. Opportunities to develop, redevelop, and/or renovate in the Caribbean will resurface as demand levels improve. We suggest to heed caution and conduct the proper due diligence when positioning assets in the region since cost bases vary significantly. We are hopeful that the statistics presented herein provide you with benchmarks for potential rooms revenue which must be considered when testing the feasibility of a hotel or resort in this region since rooms revenue tends to have the greatest impact on profitability.

Appendix

Upscale and Upper Upscale Chain-Affiliated Caribbean Properties

<u>Location</u>	<u>Hotel</u>
Aruba	Hyatt Regency Aruba Resort & Casino Marriott Aruba Resort & Stellaris Casino Renaissance Aruba Resort & Casino Westin Aruba Resort
Bahamas	Hilton British Colonial Sheraton Hotel Cable Beach Resort Elegant Colony Club Hotel Elegant Crystal Cove Hotel Elegant Tamarind Cove Hotel Elegant The House Resort Elegant Turtle Beach Resort Hilton Barbados
Cayman Islands	Marriott Grand Cayman Beach Resort Westin Casuarina Resort & Spa
Dominican Republic	Embassy Suites Santo Domingo Juan Dolio Hilton Santo Domingo Renaissance Jaragua Hotel & Casino
Jamaica	Hilton Kingston Hilton Rose Hall Resort & Spa Hilton Curacao
Netherlands Antilles	Marriott Curacao Bch Resort & Emerald Casino Renaissance Curacao Resort & Casino Westin St Maarten Dawn Beach Rsrst & Spa
Puerto Rico	Doubletree Hotel San Juan Puerto Rico Embassy Suites Dorado Del Mar Beach & Golf Resort Embassy Suites San Juan Hotel & Casino Gran Melia Puerto Rico Hilton Caribe Hilton Ponce Golf & Casino Resort Marriott San Juan Resort & Stellaris Casino Renaissance La Concha Hotel Sheraton Hotel & Casino Old San Juan
St Kitts / Nevis	Marriott St Kitts Rsrst & Royal Beach Casino
Trinidad / Tobago	Hilton Trinidad Hyatt Regency Trinidad
US Virgin Islands	Marriott Frenchman`s Reef & Morning Star Bch Westin St John Resort

Luxury Chain-Affiliated Caribbean Properties

<u>Location</u>	<u>Hotel</u>
Antigua / Barbuda	Rosewood Jumby Bay Resort
Bahamas	One & Only Ocean Club
	Fairmont Royal Pavilion Suites
	Preferred Sandy Lane Hotel
	Fairmont Hamilton Princess
	Fairmont Southampton
	Mandarin Oriental Elbow Beach
	Preferred Tucker`s Point Hotel & Spa
British Virgin Islands	Preferred Boutique Peter Island Resort
	Rosewood Little Dix Bay
Cayman Islands	Ritz-Carlon Grand Cayman
Dominican Republic	InterContinental V Centenario Santo Domingo
	Sofitel Frances Santo Domingo
	Sofitel Nicolas De Ovando Santo Domingo
Jamaica	Ritz-Carlon Golf & Spa Resort Rose Hall
Puerto Rico	Conrad San Juan Condado Plaza
	InterContinental San Juan Resort & Casino
	Ritz-Carlon San Juan Hotel Spa & Casino
	Waldorf=Astoria El Conquistador Resort
	Waldorf=Astoria El San Juan Hotel & Casino
St. Lucia	RockResorts The Landings St Lucia
St. Vincent / The Grenadines	Raffles Resort Canouan Island
Turks / Caicos Islands	Regent Hotel The Palms
US Virgin Islands	Ritz-Carlon St Thomas Resort
	Rosewood Caneel Bay Resort

About HVS

HVS is the world's leading consulting and services organization focused on the hotel, restaurant, shared ownership, gaming, and leisure industries. Established in 1980 by President and CEO Steve Rushmore, MAI, FRICS, CHA, the company offers a comprehensive scope of services and specialized industry expertise to help you enhance the economic returns and value of your hospitality assets.

Steve began his career in the 1970s as a consultant in the hospitality division of a prominent New York City real estate firm. Through that experience, Rushmore noted the limited body of knowledge available to assess the value of hotels and motels, taking into consideration both the business and real estate components. Rushmore's first book, *The Valuation of Hotels and Motels*, quickly became the definitive work on the subject, and soon after, HVS was born. The HVS method of providing an economic study and appraisal for hotels and motels immediately became, and continues to be, the industry standard.

Over the past three decades, HVS has expanded both its range of services and its geographical boundaries. The company's global reach, through a network of 30 offices staffed by 400 seasoned industry professionals, gives you access to an unparalleled range of complementary services for the hospitality industry:

Consulting & Valuation	Convention, Sports & Entertainment Facilities
Investment Banking	Interior Design
Asset Management & Advisory	Sales & Marketing Services
Hotel Management	Shared Ownership Services
Hotel Parking Consulting	Golf Services
Executive Search	Eco Services
Food & Beverage Services	Risk Management
Gaming Services	

Our clients include prominent hotel owners, lending institutions, international hotel companies, management entities, governmental agencies, and law and accounting firms from North America, Europe, Asia, Latin America, and the Caribbean. Our principals literally 'wrote the book' on hospitality consulting, authoring numerous authoritative texts and hundreds of articles. HVS principals are regarded as the leading professionals in their respective regions of the globe. We are client driven, entrepreneurial, and dedicated to providing the best advice and services in a timely and cost-efficient manner. HVS employees continue to be industry leaders, consistently generating a wide variety of articles, studies, and publications on all aspects of the hospitality industry.

HVS is the industry's primary source of hotel ownership data. Our 2,000+ assignments each year keep us at the forefront of trends and knowledge regarding information on financial

operating results, management contracts, franchise agreements, compensation programs, financing structures, and transactions. With access to our industry intelligence and data, you will have the most timely information and the best tools available to make critical decisions about your hospitality assets.

For further information regarding our expertise and specifics about our services, please visit www.hvs.com.